One Score Sheet per application

Judge Name: ___________________ Project Name: ________________________________

Project Category: Buildings/ Public Infrastructure Civil Category 1 2 3

Recommended score ranges:
- Diamond: 100 – 105
- Ruby: 92 - 99
- Sapphire: 85 – 91

1. How Did You Partner This Project? 15 points

- Did you have a Professional Neutral Partnering Facilitator? (3) ____
  Judges may award partial points for variations on types of facilitators used.
  Note that many programs have a $10 million threshold for neutral facilitation. Projects
  under $10 million will not be penalized for not using a neutral facilitator. 7

- How many Professionally Facilitated Partnering sessions did your Project Team use?
  Kickoff (1) ____ Follow-ups (up to 2 points.) ____ Close Out (1) ____

- Did the project use Surveys? Yes (1) ____ No (0) ____
  If Yes, how many surveys did your team use? (up to 2 points) ____ 3

- How did your team follow up on survey findings? If applicable, include an example of a
  decision the team made based on survey findings. 3

- Judges may award up to 2 bonus points if they feel there was something outstanding about
  the Partnering process on this project. 2

Total Score: ___ /15

Strengths: ____________________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________

Opportunities for Improvement: __________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________

Final Score = ___/105
# 2. Goals and Outcomes of the Partnership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Team included the Core Goals and project-specific Goals. The Goals were specific and measurable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>The team held follow-up Partnering meetings of some kind to review/update/amend goals as necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Please include your Partnering Charter in the Appendix (including signature page, core goals, project-specific goals, etc.) This does not count against 13-page limit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Judges may award up to 3 bonus points if they feel there was something outstanding about the charter and/or goals on this project.

**Total Score:** 35 / 35

**Strengths:**

**Opportunities for Improvement:**

# 3. Issue Resolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Team included Dispute Resolution Ladder and provided at least one example.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>In addition to Partnering, did you use a DRA/DRB, Facilitated Dispute Resolution, or any other form of ADR to resolve a disputed issue? If so, please provide details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Please estimate the value of the issues resolved (in terms of cost and of schedule).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Judges may award up to 3 bonus points if they feel there was something outstanding about the issue resolution process on this project.

**Total Score:** 25 / 25

**Strengths:**

**Opportunities for Improvement:**
### 4. Teamwork

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How did you develop team member relationships? Describe those relationships and how you maintained them. The team used the Partnering process to become unified over the course of the project.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did you inform, educate and/or engage the public, third parties, or other project stakeholders? Describe those relationships and how you maintained them. The team engaged key stakeholders (including key trades/craft workers, key subcontractors, IT, end-users, travelling or visiting public, etc. in the process).</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please provide specific examples of how Partnering added value to the team and the stakeholders, including the end-users.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judges may award up to 2 bonus points if they feel there was something outstanding about teamwork on this project.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Score: /15

Strengths: 

Opportunities for Improvement: 

### 5. Innovations and Lessons Learned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What “out of the box,” innovative/creative ideas were implemented on this project? They leveraged the high-trust culture of the project to implement cost-saving proposals or used new techniques</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explain any special adaptations or refinements that were made to improve the project partnering process to fit this particular project. The team adjusted the partnering process on the fly to streamline the process or to make it better suited for this specific project</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What were your Lessons Learned and how will you use them to improve future projects? What lessons did the team learn that we can share with other teams?</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judges may award up to 2 bonus points if they feel there was something outstanding about the innovation or creativity on this project.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Score: /10

Strengths: 

Opportunities for Improvement: 

### Bonus Points up to 5 points

Two bonus points will be assigned if the application is signed by the owner, prime contractor, CM, designer and facilitator. (If they are not an employee of the owner’s organization). Digital signatures or ink signatures are acceptable (below). Include the signatures of principal stakeholders (owner agency, contractor, and CM, designer and facilitator when applicable) (up to two (2) points, total)

One bonus point will be assigned for each team member firm that is an IPI Member. (Up to three (3) points, total)

### Overall Quality of the Application

The application must include all of the below in order to be considered. In cases where scores are close, the quality of the application may affect the final score. The information included should be concise, and well-articulated. If there were problems with any of the below required items, please outline your concerns.

**Comments:**

- Table of Contents;
- Application Form (Part 3 – budget, safety, quality, etc.);
- One Page Summary;
- Responses to Judges’ Criteria (Questions 1-5);
- Attachments/Exhibits;
- Charter/Partnering Agreement;
- Rating Form/Evaluation Tool

**TOTAL SCORE: _____/105**